

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
9 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
10

11 LLOYD F. BENSON, III,  
12

13 Plaintiff,  
14

15 v.  
16

17 CITIBANK, N.A., et al.,  
18

19 Defendants.  
20

Case No.: 12-cv-760 JSC

**ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE**

21 On July 23, 2013, the Court lifted the litigation stay and set a briefing schedule on  
22 Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Third Amended Complaint. (Dkt. No. 63.)  
23 Plaintiff's opposition was due August 5, 2013, but to date, Plaintiff has not filed an  
24 Opposition or Statement of non-opposition to the Motion to Dismiss, as required by Local  
25 Rule 7-3(b).

26 Accordingly, the Court hereby ORDERS Plaintiff to SHOW CAUSE as to why this  
27 action should not be dismissed for failure to prosecute. Plaintiff should file a response to the  
28 ORDER to SHOW CAUSE, along with a response to the Motion to Dismiss, on or before  
August 19, 2013. If no response is received, this action may be dismissed with prejudice,  
pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b), for failure to prosecute.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Dated: August 12, 2013

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
JACQUELINE SCOTT CORLEY  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

United States District Court  
Northern District of California